arXiv:math/0109111v2 [math.FA] 18 Sep 2001

ON FREE RESOLUTIONS IN MULTIVARIABLE OPERATOR THEORY

DEVIN C.V. GREENE

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{A}_d$ be the complex polynomial ring in d variables. A contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module is Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with an $\mathcal{A}_d$ action such that for any $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_d \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\|z_1\xi_1 + z_2\xi + \cdots + z_d\xi_d\|^2 \leq \|\xi_1\|^2 + \|\xi_2\|^2 + \cdots + \|\xi_d\|^2.$$

Such objects have been shown to be useful for modeling d-tuples of mutually commuting operators acting on a Hilbert space. An example is given by H^2_d, which is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on $B_d = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z| \leq 1\}$ generated by the reproducing kernel $k(z,w) = \frac{1}{1-\langle z,w\rangle}$, $z, w \in B_d$. For a natural subcatagory of contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules, whose members are said to be *pure*, the module H^2_d plays the role of the free module of rank one. In fact, given any pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module $\mathcal{H}$, there is a "free resolution", i.e. an exact sequence of the following form:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $i \geq 1$, the map Φ_i can be viewed as a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_{i-1})$-valued weakly holomorphic function on B_d. ($\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_{i-1})$ is the set of bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{C}_i$ into $\mathcal{C}_{i-1}$.) "Localizing" the free resolution at a point $\lambda \in B_d$, one obtains a "localized complex":

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_0.$$

We shall show that the homology of this complex is isomorphic to the homology of the Koszul complex of the d-tuple $(\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \ldots, \varphi^d)$, where φ^i is the ith coordinate function of a Möbius transform on B_d such that $\varphi(\lambda) = 0$.

We shall also show that the set of Möbius transforms on B_d gives rise to a class of unitary operators on the Hilbert space H^2_d. Explicitly, if φ is a Möbius transform on B_d, then the map $\xi \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{1-\langle\cdot,\lambda\rangle}(\xi \circ \varphi)$, where $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(0)$, is a unitary operator on H^2_d. We shall show that the set of such operators acts "ergodically" on H^2_d, in the sense that no non-trivial invariant subspace of H^2_d is preserved by every operator of this form.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}_d = \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]$ be the polynomial ring in d variables. We define a *contractive Hilbert $\mathcal{A}_d$-module* to be a module $\mathcal{H}$ over $\mathcal{A}_d$ that is a Hilbert space and that has the additional property that for all $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^{d} z_k \xi_k\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d} \|\xi_k\|^2.$$

Obviously any closed submodule $\mathcal{K}$ of a contractive $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{H}$ is a contractive $\mathcal{A}$-module. Consider the Banach space quotient $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{K}$. One can identify this space with $\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{K}$, and define a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module structure on it by compressing polynomials by $P_{\mathcal{H}\ominus\mathcal{K}}$.

The notion of a Hilbert module was used by Arveson in [4] to represent commuting d-contractions of operators. Indeed, the actions of $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d$ on $\mathcal{H}$ correspond to a mutually commuting d-tuple of linear operators $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ by defining $z_i \xi = T_\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. The contractive condition on the module $\mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to saying that the row operator $(T_1 \; T_2 \; \cdots \; T_d)$ is contractive when seen as a map from $\overbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}}^{d \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Conversely, given a d-tuples of linear operators $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ where the components mutually commute and the row operator $(T_1 \; T_2 \; \cdots \; T_d)$ is contractive, one can define a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module structure on $\mathcal{H}$ by defining $z_i \xi = T_i \xi$ for each $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Given a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module $\mathcal{H}$, we call the d-tuple $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ the *associated d-tuple of $\mathcal{H}$.*

An example of a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and one which plays an important role in the theory, is the following: Let H^2_d be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on the unit ball B_d of $\mathbb{C}^d$ derived from the following reproducing kernel:

$$k(z, \lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, \lambda \rangle}, \quad z, \lambda \in B_d. \tag{1}$$

The function $k_\lambda : z \mapsto k(z, \lambda)$ is in H^2_d and in fact for any $\xi \in H^2_d$,

$$\xi(\lambda) = \langle \xi, k_\lambda \rangle .$$

A contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module structure is defined on H^2_d as follows: For any $\xi \in H^2_d$, $p(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathcal{A}_d$, $p(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)\xi$ is simply the function $p(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)$ multiplied by ξ. The Hilbert space direct sum of n copies of H^2_d is also a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module and can be expressed by

$H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hilbert space of dimension n. Note that we put no restrictions at this point on the cardinality of n. For reasons that will soon become apparent, we call $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}$ the *free contractive $\mathcal{A}$-module of rank* $\dim \mathcal{C}$.

Another simple example of a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module arises as follows: Let $C(\partial B_d)$ be the C^*-algebra of continuous functions on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^d$. Let $\pi : C(\partial B_d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be a *-representation. Then polynomials act on $\mathcal{K}$ in the natural way, and $\mathcal{K}$ becomes a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. Such modules are called *spherical modules*.

Free and spherical contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules play the role of universal objects in the category of $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules. The following result is due to W. Arveson ([2]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. There exists a free module $\mathcal{F}$, a spherical module $\mathcal{S}$, and a module homomorphism $U : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $UU^* = 1$, i.e. U is a coisometry.*

A uniqueness condition also applies. First we state the following definition:

Definition 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a free module, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a spherical module, and let $U : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a coisometry. The triple $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}, U)$ is said to be a minimal dilation of $\mathcal{H}$ if the closed submodule of $\mathcal{F} \oplus S$ generated by $U^*\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{S}$.*

The following theorem, a proof of which can be found in [4], states that any two minimal dilations are naturally isomorphic.

Theorem 1.3. *Every contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module $\mathcal{H}$ has a minimal dilation. Furthermore, if $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}, U)$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}', U')$ are minimal dilations, then there is a unitary module isomorphism $V : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \oplus \mathcal{S}'$ such that $U = U'V$. Furthermore, V has the form $V = (1_{H^2_d} \otimes W) \oplus W'$.*

In this paper, we will be concerned almost entirely with *pure* contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules.

Definition 1.4. *A contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module $\mathcal{H}$ is pure if the spherical part of any minimal dilation is trivial. In other words, $\mathcal{H}$ is unitarily isomorphic to a quotient of a free module.*

There is an equivalent formulation of this definition expressed in terms of contractive d-tuples of commuting operators. This equivalence follows from the work of Arveson in [2].

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and let $T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_d$ be the linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to the actions of $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is pure iff*

$$SOT-\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n}^{d} T_{i_1}T_{i_2}\cdots T_{i_n}T_{i_n}^*\cdots T_{i_2}^*T_{i_1}^*=0.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hilbert space. The free module $H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{C}$ can be viewed as a space of (weakly) holomorphic $\mathcal{C}$-valued functions defined on B_d. Indeed, if $\xi\in H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{C}$ and $\lambda\in B_d$, then $\xi(\lambda)$ is defined to be the unique element of $\mathcal{C}$ such that for all $\eta\in\mathcal{C}$,

$$\langle\xi,k_\lambda\otimes\eta\rangle=\langle\xi(\lambda),\eta\rangle_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

This identification of a free module with a space of vector valued holomorphic functions gives us a useful way of perceiving module homomorphisms between free modules. Indeed, let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be Hilbert spaces, and let $\Phi: H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{D}\longrightarrow H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{C}$ be a module homomorphism. For each $\lambda\in B_d$, define the bounded linear operator $\Phi(\lambda):\mathcal{D}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}$ by $\Phi(\lambda)\eta=\Phi(1\otimes\eta)(\lambda)$. Since Φ is a homomorphism, it follows that for all $\xi\in H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{D}$ and $\lambda\in B_d$, $(\Phi\xi)(\lambda)=\Phi(\lambda)\xi(\lambda)$. Hence Φ is given by pointwise multiplication by the operator valued function $\Phi(\lambda)$. From the definition, it is not difficult to show that the adjoint of Φ has the following property: Let $\lambda\in B_d$, and let $\eta\in\mathcal{C}$. Then

$$\Phi^*(k_\lambda\otimes\eta)=k_\lambda\otimes\Phi(\lambda)^*\eta. \tag{2}$$

As a consequence of (2), we have the following boundedness condition on $\Phi(\lambda)$.

$$\|\Phi(\lambda)\|\le\|\Phi\|,\ \forall\lambda\in B_d.$$

Thus the function $\lambda\in B_d\mapsto\Phi(\lambda)$ is a bounded $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{C})$-valued (weakly) holomorphic function on B_d.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed submodule. Using Theorem 1.5, it is not hard to show that $\mathcal{M}$ is pure as a contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. Using this, we can perform the following construction: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. Then by Theorem 1.1, there exists a free module $\mathcal{F}_1$ and a coisometric module homomorphism $\Phi_1:\mathcal{F}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}$. Clearly $\ker\Phi_1$ is a closed submodule of $\mathcal{F}_1$, and by our above remarks, there exists a free module $\mathcal{F}_2$ and a coisometric module homomorphism $P:\mathcal{F}_2\longrightarrow\ker\Phi_1$. Extending the codomain of P, we obtain a partially isometric module homomorphism $\Phi_2:\mathcal{F}_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{F}_1$ with range space $\ker\Phi_1$. Continuing in this fashion, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$(3) \qquad \cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i$ is free for each i. We call this a free resolution of $\mathcal{H}$, and it is analogous to the free resolution in the theory of finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings.

Each $\mathcal{F}_i$ appearing in (3) has the form $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_i$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}_i$. If we localize to a point $\lambda \in B_d$, we obtain the following sequence of linear maps:

$$(4) \qquad \cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_0$$

Since (3) is exact, it follows that (4) is a complex for each λ.

The main result of Section 2 is that the homology of (4) at 0 is closely connected to the spectral properties of the d-tuple $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ associated with $\mathcal{H}$. By "spectral properties" we are refering to the spectrum of a tuple of operators in the sense of Taylor (cf. [15]). The following discussion summarizes this more precisely: For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ be the k-fold alternating product of $\mathbb{C}^d$, taken to be the trivial vector space when $k < 0$. For each k, let $E_k = \mathcal{H} \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$ for $\mathbb{C}^d$, and for each k, define the linear map $\partial_k : E_k \longrightarrow E_{k-1}$ as follows:

$$\partial_k(\xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j+1} z_{i_j} \xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the following sequence is a complex.

$$(5) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow E_d \xrightarrow{\partial_d} E_{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} E_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

What we will show in Section 2 is that

$$\frac{\ker \partial_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}} \cong \frac{\ker \Phi_k(0)}{\operatorname{im} \Phi_{k+1}(0)}.$$

Hence the homology of (5) and that of (4) when $\lambda = 0$ are identical.

In Section 3, we introduce the notion of a Möbius transform of a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. We recall that a Möbius transform φ on B_1 is a bijective holomorphism from B_d onto itself. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ is the associated d-tuple, then we can define the Möbius transform $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$ of $\mathcal{H}$ to be the pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module where the underlying Hilbert space of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is the underlying Hilbert space of $\mathcal{H}$, but $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d$ act as $\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \dots, \varphi^d$, respectively, where φ^i is the ith coordinate of φ. We will show that there exists a unitary module isomorphism $U_\varphi : H^2_d \longrightarrow (H^2_d)_\lambda$, which carries the space of all functions in H^2_d that vanish at 0 to the space of all functions that vanish at λ. We will also show that the U_λ's act "ergodically" on H^2_d in the sense that no proper nontrivial closed submodule of H^2_d is invariant under U_λ for all $\lambda \in B_d$.

Finally, in Section 4, we use the results on Section 3 to describe the homology of (4) for an arbitrary $\lambda \in B_d$. In particular, Theorem 4.3 states that the homology of the Koszul complex of $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$ is equivalent to the localized complex (4). Hence (4) contains spectral information (in the sense of Taylor) of the Möbius transformation of $\mathcal{H}$.

2. THE KOSZUL COMPLEX AND FREE RESOLUTION OF A CONTRACTIVE $\mathcal{A}_d$-MODULE

In his seminal paper ([15]), J. Taylor defined the notion of invertibility of d-tuples of commuting operators acting on a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$. We will briefly summarize Taylor's construction:

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space, and let $(a_1, a_2, \dots a_d)$ be a d-tuple of mutually commuting bounded operators on $\mathcal{B}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ be the k-fold wedge product of $\mathbb{C}^d$, where this is taken to be the trivial vector space when $k < 0$. Let $E_k = \mathcal{B} \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$. Note that E_k can be viewed as an $\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$-fold direct sum of E_k's, hence it is a Banach space itself. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots e_d\}$ for $\mathbb{C}^d$. For each k, define $\partial_k : E_k \longrightarrow E_{k-1}$ by

$$\partial_k \xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (-1)^{j+1} a_i \xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \hat{e_{i_j}} \cdots e_{i_k},$$

A simple computation shows that $\partial_{k-1}\partial_k = 0$. Hence we have the following complex of Banach spaces.

(6)

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E_d \xrightarrow{\partial_d} E_{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} E_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0.$$

The following is Taylor's definition of invertibility:

Definition 2.1. *A d-tuple of mutually commuting operators acting on a common Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be invertible if the sequence in* (6) *is exact.*

One can immediately provide a definition of the spectrum of $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$:

Definition 2.2. *Let* $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$ *be a* d*-tuple of mutually commuting operators on a Banach space* $\mathcal{B}$*. Then* $\operatorname{spec}(a)$ *is defined to be the set* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ *where* $(a_1 - \lambda_1, a_2 - \lambda_2, \ldots, a_d - \lambda_d)$ *is not invertible.*

We remark that in the case where $d = 1$, both Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 reduce to the usual definitions of invertibility and spectrum for single operators.

If we restrict ourselves to the case where $\mathcal{B}$ is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and the d-tuple $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$ consists of mutually commuting bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$, then we can express homological features of (6) in terms of a single self-adjoint operator. Our presentation will follow Arveson ([1]), but this idea appeared earlier in the work of such authors as Curto ([6]) and Vasilescu ([16]).

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$ be as above, and let$\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d\}$ be our fixed orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^d$. The space $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ has a natural inner product defined by

$$\langle z_1 \wedge z_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_k, w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k \rangle = \det(\langle z_i, w_j \rangle)_{ij}, \ z_i, w_i \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Hence the spaces E_k are tensor products of Hilbert spaces. Let $\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^d = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$. Define E to be the direct sum of the E_k's, i.e.

$$E = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_k = \mathcal{H} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Let $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d$ be the operators on $\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^d$ defined by

$$c_i(z_1 \wedge z_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_k) = e_i \wedge z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_k, \ z_i \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

We then define the linear operator $\partial : E \longrightarrow E$ to be the sum

$$T_1 \otimes c_1^* + T_2 \otimes c_2^* + \cdots + T_d \otimes c_d^*.$$

One then checks that the restriction of ∂ to E_k is ∂_k. One can now prove the following theorem (cf. [1]):

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$ *be a* d*-tuple of mutually commuting operators on a common Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$*. If* ∂ *is the corresponding boundary operator, then* $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$ *is invertible iff* $\partial + \partial^*$ *is invertible.*

The operator $\partial + \partial^*$ is called the Dirac operator corresponding to $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$. This idea of expressing the invertibility of a d-tuple in terms of a single operator suggests the following definition:

Definition 2.4. *A d-tuple of operators as in Theorem 2.3 is said to be Fredholm if the corresponding Dirac operator $\partial + \partial^*$ is Fredholm.*

We note that in the case where $d = 1$, this definition corresponds to the usual definition of Fredholmness via an easy application of Atkinsons' equivalences (see [5], for example).

The Fredholmness of a Dirac operator has an importance consequence with respect to the homology of the Koszul complex. This is expressed in the following theorem, which follows from the definition of ∂ by a straightforward argument

Theorem 2.5. *A d-tuple $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$ is Fredholm iff the homology spaces $\ker \partial_k / \operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}$ are finite dimensional for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Theorem 2.5 allows us to generalize the notion of index to Fredholm d-tuples. Indeed, the index of a Fredholm d-tuple $(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)$ is defined to be the alternating sum of the dimensions of the homology spaces of the Koszul complex, i.e.

$$\operatorname{index}(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\ker \partial_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}}.$$

Again, we note that this definition reduces to the usual definition of index when one takes $d = 1$.

We now show that the d-tuple associated with the free Hilbert module H_d^2 is Fredholm and we compute its homology.

Theorem 2.6. *The d-tuple $(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_d)$ associated with H_d^2 is Fredholm. Furthermore, the extended sequence of maps*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} E_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{1/2}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\partial_{1/2}$ is defined to be the evalation map $\xi \mapsto \xi(0)$, is exact.

A proof of the fact the $(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_d)$ is Fredholm can be found in [1]. We will rely on this fact to prove the remainder of the theorem.

Proof. Let k be an integer no less than 1. By Theorem 2.5, the space $\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}$ has finite codimension in $\ker \partial_k$. It is a standard fact in operator theory that if the image of a bounded operator has finite codimension in a larger closed subspace, then the image is closed. Hence $\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}$ is a closed subspace of finite codimension in $\ker \partial_k$. We now show that

$\operatorname{im}\partial_{k+1} = \ker\partial_k$. To this end, let $\xi \in \ker\partial_k$. Then ξ can be written as follows:

$$\sum_{1\le i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_k\le d} \xi_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k} \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$$

where $\xi_{i_1,i_2,\ldots i_k} \in H^2_d$. Supposing for the moment that these $\xi_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}$ are homogeneous polynomials all of the same degree N, then $\partial_k\xi$ is in a similar form but with common degree $N+1$. It follows in the general case that if $\xi^n_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}$ is the nth degree homogeneous component of $\xi_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}$, then

$$\xi^n := \sum_{1\le i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_k\le d} \xi^n_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k} \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} \in \ker\partial_k.$$

By Corollary 17.5 in [7], $\xi^n \in \operatorname{im}\partial_{k+1}$ for each n, hence $\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n \in \operatorname{im}\partial_{k+1}$.

We proceed to the case where $k=0$. By Theorem 3.1, the following row operator is a partial isometry with image $\{\xi \in H^2_d : \xi(0) = 0\}$.

$$(S_1\ S_2\ \cdots\ S_d) : \overbrace{H^2_d \oplus \cdots \oplus H^2_d}^{d \text{ times}} \longrightarrow H^2_d. \tag{7}$$

A generic element ζ of E_1 has the following form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d} \xi_k \otimes e_k,\ \xi_k \in H^2_d.$$

Hence $\partial_1\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{d} S_k\xi_k$. It follows from (7) and the statement preceding it that $\operatorname{im}\partial_1 = \ker\partial_{1/2}$.

The surjectivity of $\partial_{1/2}$ is clear. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} E_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Koszul complex of $(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_d)$. *Then its homology is as follows:*

$$\frac{\ker\partial_k}{\operatorname{im}\partial_{k+1}} = 0,\ k \ge 1$$

$$\frac{\ker \partial_0}{\operatorname{im} \partial_1} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_d)$ be the d-tuple associated with a free module $\mathcal{F} = H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}$ with the following extended sequence of maps:*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} E_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{1/2}} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then for $k \geq 1$, $\ker \partial_k = \operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}$ and $\ker \partial_0 / \operatorname{im} \partial_1 \cong \ker \partial_0 \cap (\operatorname{im} \partial_1)^\perp \cong \mathcal{C}$. In other words $\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}$ is closed in $\ker \partial_k$, and in the case where $k = 1$, the codimension of $\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}$ in $\ker \partial_k$ is $\dim \mathcal{C}$.

Naturally, our entire discussion on Koszul complexes of d-tuples of operators can be rephrased in terms of $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules. Indeed, one simply takes $\mathcal{H}$ to be the module defined by $z_i \xi = T_i \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. The spaces E_k are defined analogously, with $\partial_k : E_k \longrightarrow E_{k-1}$ reexpressed as

$$\partial_k(\xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j+1} z_{i_j} \xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k},$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_d$ is a commutative algebra, the maps ∂_k are module homomorphisms. Hence the sequence (6) can be viewed as a complex of $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules.

As summarized in Section 1, starting with a pure $\mathcal{A}_d$-module $\mathcal{H}$, by means of dilation theory one may construct a free resolution of $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{8}$$

which is an exact sequence where the Φ_i's are partial isometries and the $\mathcal{F}_i$'s are free modules of the form $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_i$. One then "localizes" (8) to a point $\lambda \in B_d$ to obtain a complex of vector spaces:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_0.$$

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and let*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{9}$$

a free resolution of $\mathcal{H}$. Localize at 0 to obtain the following complex:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_3(0)} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(0)} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1(0)} \mathcal{C}_0.$$

Let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_3} E_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} E_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{H}$. *Then for all* $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\ker \partial_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}} \cong \frac{\ker \Phi_k(0)}{\operatorname{im} \Phi_{k+1}(0)}.$$

Proof. In the course of this proof, we will use $\mathcal{F}_i^k$ and $\mathcal{H}^k$ to denote, respectively, $\mathcal{F}_i \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$. Since for any k, $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ is finite dimensional, tensoring the components of (9) by $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ preserves exactness. Hence the following sequence is exact:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2 \otimes 1_{\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d}} \mathcal{F}_1^k \xrightarrow{\Phi_1 \otimes 1_{\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d}} \mathcal{F}_0^k \xrightarrow{\Phi_0 \otimes 1_{\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d}} \mathcal{H}^k \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the sake of convenience, and since it will cause no confusion in what follows, we will denote maps of the form $A \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ by A for any linear operator A on H_d^2. Furthermore, unless otherwise stated, we shall use ∂_k to denote *any* Koszul complex mapping $\mathcal{M} \otimes \bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^d$.

We claim that the following diagram commutes, and, with the exception of the $\mathcal{C}_*$ column, is exact on rows and columns.

(10)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \vdots \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+3}"'] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+3}"'] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+3}(0)"'] & \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{i+2}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}_{i+2}^0 \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{i+2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}(0)"'] & 0 \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^0 \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{i+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}(0)"'] & 0 \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{i}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i}"'] & \mathcal{F}_{i}^0 \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i}(0)"'] & 0 \\
 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{tikzcd}$$

First we check commutativity for the square

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{F}^k_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_k"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+1} \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] \\ \mathcal{F}^k_i \arrow[r, "\partial_k"] & \mathcal{F}^{k-1}_i, \end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

where $i, k \geq 1$. This amounts to showing that $\partial_k \Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_{i+1}\partial_k$. Consider an element in $\mathcal{F}^k_{i+1}$ of the form

$$\xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}, \tag{12}$$

where $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1}, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq d$. Applying Φ_{i+1} and then ∂_k to this gives us

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j+1} z_{i_j} \Phi_{i+1} \xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots e_{i_k}. \tag{13}$$

Since Φ_{i+1} is a module homomorphism, the z_{i_j}'s commute with Φ_{i+1}, and the resulting expression

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j+1} \Phi_{i+1} z_{i_j} \xi \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots e_{i_k}$$

is the result of applying ∂_k then Φ_{i+1} to (12). Hence (11) is a commuting square. For the case of squares of the following form:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{F}^0_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{i+1} \arrow[d, "\Phi_i"'] \\ \mathcal{F}^0_i \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] & \mathcal{C}_i, \end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

we argue as follows. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{F}^0_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$. The result of evaluating at 0 and then applying $\Phi_{i+1}(0)$ results in

$$\Phi_{i+1}(0)\xi(0)$$

which is equivalent to $(\Phi_{i+1}\xi)(0)$, which is the result of applying Φ_{i+1} to ξ and then evaluating at 0. Hence (14) is a commuting square.

Before proceding we make the following observation: For each $i \geq 0$, we denote the quotient module $\mathcal{F}_i/\Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}_{i+1})$ by $\mathcal{H}_i$. Note that by assumption $\mathcal{H}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_0$. Let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2'} \mathcal{H}_i^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1'} \mathcal{H}_i^0 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{15}$$

be the Koszul complex for $\mathcal{H}_i$. Fixing this i, we let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2''} \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1''} \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^0 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{16}$$

be the Koszul complex for $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. Note that since (10) commutes, the complexes (15) and (16) are induced by the maps of the Koszul complexes $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_i^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_{i+2}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^*$ respectively. This can be expressed by saying that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^0 \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_i^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{F}_i^0 \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2'"] & \mathcal{H}_i^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1'"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H}_i^0 \arrow[d] \\
 & 0 & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{i+2}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}_{i+2}^0 \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}^0 \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_2''"] & \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^1 \arrow[r, "\partial_1''"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H}_{i+1}^0 \arrow[d] \\
 & 0 & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will use this fact to establish the following two claims:

Claim 2.10. *For $k \geq 2$,*

$$\frac{\ker \partial'_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial'_{k+1}} \cong \frac{\ker \partial''_{k-1}}{\operatorname{im} \partial''_k}.$$

Proof. Consider the following portion of (10), where $k \geq 2$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_{i+2} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k+1}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k}_{i+2} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+2} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k-2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k-2}_{i+2} \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] \\
\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k+1}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k}_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k-1}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}^{k-2}_{i+1} \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] \\
\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_{i} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k+1}"] & \mathcal{F}^{k}_{i} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k}"] & \mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k-1}"] & \mathcal{F}^{k-2}_{i}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{17}$$

Let $\zeta \in \ker \partial'_k / \operatorname{im} \partial'_{k+1}$. Choose a representative $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{F}^k_i$ for ζ. By assumption, $\partial_k \zeta_0 \in \Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+1})$. Choose $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{F}^k_{i+1}$ such that $\Phi_{i+1}\eta_0 = \partial_k \zeta_0$. By exactness of the bottom row of (17), $\partial_{k-1}\Phi_{i+1}\eta_0 = 0$, hence $\partial_{k-1}\eta_0 \in \ker \Phi_{i+1}$. Hence by the exactness of the last column in (17), η_0 defines a homology class $\eta \in \ker \partial''_{k-1}/\operatorname{im} \partial''_k$. We claim that this η depends only on the choice of ζ. Indeed, due to exactness of rows in (17), a different choice of η_0 corresponds to a perturbation by an element in the image of $\mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+2}$ under Φ_{i+2}, which results in the new η_0 being in the same homology class. A different choice of ζ_0 corresponds to a perturbation by an element of $\partial_{k+1}(\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_i)$ and an element of $\Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}^k_{i+1})$. The first of these is eliminated by the exactness of the bottom row of (17) and the way we defined η. Due to the commutativity of the diagram, the second pertubation corresponds to a perturbation of η_0 by an element of $\partial_k(\mathcal{F}^k_{i+1})$, which yield the same homology class η.

Conversely, if one begins with an element $\eta \in \ker \partial''_{k-1}/\operatorname{im} \partial''_k$, for any representative $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+1}$, there is an element $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{F}^k_i$ such that $\partial_k \zeta_0 = \Phi_{i+1}\eta_0$. This implies that ζ_0 corresponds to a homology class $\zeta \in \ker \partial'_k/\operatorname{im} \partial'_{k+1}$. We claim that this ζ depends only on η. Indeed, by the exactness of the bottom row, a different choice of ζ_0 is a perturbation by an element in $\partial_{k+1}(\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_i)$, which corresponds to the same homology class ζ. A different choice of η_0 corresponds to a perturbation by an element in $\Phi_{i+2}(\mathcal{F}^{k-1}_{i+2})$ or in $\partial_k(\mathcal{F}^k_{i+1})$. In the first case, the perturbation is annihilated by the time that we get to $\mathcal{F}^{k-1}_i$. In the second case, the commutativity of the diagram implies that the resulting perturbation of ζ_0 is an element in $\Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}^k_{i+1})$ and hence the homology class ζ is the same.

Clearly the above maps are inverses of each other, and hence we have the desired isomorphism.

$\square$

Claim 2.11.

$$\frac{\ker \partial_1'}{\operatorname{im} \partial_2'} \cong \frac{\ker \Phi_{i+1}(0)}{\operatorname{im} \Phi_{i+2}(0)}.$$

Proof. As in Claim 2.10, we focus on a piece of (10):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}^2_{i+2} \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}^1_{i+2} \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{F}^0_{i+2} \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{i+2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+2}(0)"'] & 0 \\
\mathcal{F}^2_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}^1_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{F}^0_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{i+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{i+1}(0)"'] & 0 \\
\mathcal{F}^2_{i} \arrow[r, "\partial_2"] & \mathcal{F}^1_{i} \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] & \mathcal{F}^0_{i} \arrow[r, "\partial_{1/2}"] & \mathcal{C}_{i} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{18}$$

Let ζ be an element in $\ker \partial_1'/\operatorname{im} \partial_2'$, let ζ_0 be a representative of ζ in $\mathcal{F}^1_i$. Then $\partial_1\zeta_0 \in \Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}^0_{i+1})$ by assumption. Choose $\eta \in \mathcal{F}^0_{i+1}$ such that $\Phi_{i+1}\eta = \partial_1\zeta_0$, and then let $x_0 = \eta(0) \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$. By the way in which x_0 was defined and by the commutativity of (18), $x_0 \in \ker \Phi_{i+1}(0)$. Now let x be the homology class of x_0 in $\ker \Phi_{i+1}(0)/\operatorname{im} \Phi_{i+2}(0)$. We claim that this x depends only on ζ. First, by exactness, a different choice of η corresponds to a perturbation by an element in $\Phi_{i+2}(\mathcal{F}^0_{i+2})$. By the commutativity of (18), this corresponds to a perturbation by x_0 by an element in $\Phi_{i+2}(0)(\mathcal{C}_{i+2})$, which leaves x unaltered. By the exactness of rows, any perturbation of ζ_0 by an element of $\partial_2(\mathcal{F}^2_i)$ or $\Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}^1_{i+1})$ is annihilated by the time one gets to $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$.

Conversely, suppose that one starts with an element $x \in \ker \Phi_{i+1}(0)/\operatorname{im} \Phi_{i+2}(0)$. Choose a representative $x_0 \in \ker \Phi_{i+1}(0)$ for x. Let η_0 be a preimage of x_0 in $\mathcal{F}^0_{i+1}$. By the commutativity of (18), there exists $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{F}^1_i$ such that $\partial_1\zeta_0 = \Phi_{i+1}\eta_0$. Hence this ζ_0 is part of a homology class $\zeta \in \ker \partial_1'/\operatorname{im} \partial_2'$. We claim that ζ depends only on the choice of x. Indeed, by the exactness of the middle row in (18), a different choice of $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{F}^0_{i+1}$ corresponds to a perturbation by an element in $\partial_1(\mathcal{F}^1_{i+1})$. By the commutativity of (18), this corresponds to a perturbation of ζ_0 by an element of $\Phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}^1_{i+1})$, yielding the same homology class ζ. A different choice of ζ_0 so that $\partial_1\zeta_0 = \Phi_{i+1}\eta_0$ corresponds, by exactness of the bottom row of (18), to a perturbation by an element in $\partial_2(\mathcal{F}_i^2)$ which obviously yields the same homology class η. Finally, a different choice of x_0 corresponds to a perturbation by an element in $\Phi_{i+2}(0)(\mathcal{C}_{i+2})$. By the exactness of the top row and second to last column of (18), one sees that this perturbation is annihilated by the time one arrives at $\mathcal{F}_i^0$.

Clearly the above two maps are inverses of each other. Hence the desired isomorphism is established.

$\square$

We can now establish the statement of the theorem. Labeling more explicitly now, we let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^i} \mathcal{H}_i^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^i} \mathcal{H}_i^0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{H}_i$. For $k \geq 2$, Claim 2.10 yields the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\frac{\ker \partial_k^0}{\operatorname{im} \partial_{k+1}^0} \cong \frac{\ker \partial_{k-1}^1}{\operatorname{im} \partial_k^1} \cong \cdots \frac{\ker \partial_1^{k-1}}{\operatorname{im} \partial_2^{k-1}}. \tag{19}$$

We then use Claim 2.11 to finish off the sequence:

$$\frac{\ker \partial_1^{k-1}}{\operatorname{im} \partial_2^{k-1}} \cong \frac{\ker \Phi_k(0)}{\operatorname{im} \Phi_{k+1}(0)}. \tag{20}$$

Together, (19) and (20) establish the statement of the theorem.

$\square$

Our goal is to describe the homology of the localized complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_0.$$

for an arbitrary $\lambda \in B_d$. We will attain this goal in Section 4, but we need some machinery first. This is the subject of the next section.

3. The Möbius Transform

In this section we define the notion of a Möbius transform of a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. We begin by summarizing the main properties of Möbius transforms on the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^d$. For a more detailed exposition, we refer the reader to [13]. Recall that a Möbius transform on the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^d$ is a continuous bijection $\varphi : \overline{B_d} \longrightarrow \overline{B_d}$ which satisfies the following (somewhat redundant) properties:

1. φ is holomorphic in B_d.
2. $\varphi(B_d) = B_d$.

3. $\varphi(\partial B_d) = \partial B_d$.

Let $\lambda \in B_d$, and define φ_λ as follows:

$$\varphi_\lambda(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}z_1}{1-\sum_{k=1}^d \overline{\lambda_k} z_k} - \lambda_1, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}z_d}{1-\sum_{k=1}^d \overline{\lambda_k} z_k} - \lambda_d \right) \tag{21}$$

or in "vector notation",

$$\varphi_\lambda(z) = \frac{P_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}(z-\lambda) - (1-|\lambda|^2)P_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}^{\perp} z}{1 - \langle z, \lambda \rangle}, \ z \in B_d,$$

where $P_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C}\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$. Some calculations reveal that φ_λ is a Möbius transform and that $\varphi_\lambda(\lambda) = 0$. It is a striking fact that *any* Möbius transform φ can be written in the form $u \circ \varphi_\lambda$, where u is a unitary operator on $\mathbb{C}^d$ and $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(0)$. This fact allows us to find a useful formula for the expression $\langle \varphi(w), \varphi(z) \rangle$, where $z, w \in B_d$. Calculating this first for the case where $\varphi = \varphi_\lambda$, we obtain the following identity:

$$\langle \varphi(z), \varphi(w) \rangle = 1 - \frac{(1-|\lambda|^2)(1-\langle z, w\rangle)}{(1-\langle \lambda, w \rangle)(1-\langle z, \lambda \rangle)}, \ z, w \in B_d. \tag{22}$$

Consequently, for any Möbius transform φ with $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(0)$, $\langle \varphi(w), \varphi(z) \rangle$ is also given by (22).

The following theorem unveils the role that is played by Möbius transforms in the theory of free contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-modules.

Theorem 3.1. *Let φ^1, φ^2, ..., φ^d be the coordinates of the Möbius transform φ. Define the map $\Phi : \overbrace{H_d^2 \oplus \cdots H_d^2}^{d \ times} \longrightarrow H_d^2$ to be left multiplication by the row vector $(\varphi^1 \ \cdots \ \varphi^d)$, i.e.*

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_d \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^d \varphi^k \xi_k, \ \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d \in H_d^2.$$

Then Φ is a partially isometric module homomorphism with range $\{\xi \in H_d^2 : \xi(\lambda) = 0\} = \{k_\lambda\}^\perp$.

Proof. It is obvious that Φ is a module homomorphism. To show that it is partially isometric with the stated range, it suffices to show that

$$\langle (1-\Phi\Phi^*)k_w, k_z\rangle = \langle P_\lambda k_w, k_z\rangle\,,$$

for any $w, z \in B_d$. The sufficiency of this condition follows from the fact that the set of all k_z's forms a spanning set of H^2_d.

We compute the left side of (3). Using (1), (2), and formula (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(23)\quad \langle (1-\Phi\Phi^*)k_w, k_z\rangle &= \frac{1}{1-\langle z,w\rangle} - \frac{\langle \varphi(z), \varphi(w)\rangle}{1-\langle z,w\rangle}\\ &= \frac{1}{1-\langle z,w\rangle} - \frac{1}{1-\langle z,w\rangle} + \frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{(1-\langle \lambda, w\rangle)(1-\langle z,\lambda\rangle)}\\ &= \frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{(1-\langle \lambda, w\rangle)(1-\langle z,\lambda\rangle)}.\end{aligned}$$

We compute the right side of (3) as follows:

$$(24)\qquad \langle P_\lambda k_w, k_z\rangle = \frac{\langle k_w, k_\lambda\rangle}{\|k_\lambda\|^2}\langle k_\lambda, k_z\rangle = \frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{(1-\langle \lambda, w\rangle)(1-\langle z,\lambda\rangle)},$$

which is identical to (23), hence we have established (3).

□

We are now in a position to define a Möbius transform of H^2_d.

Definition 3.2. *Let φ be a Möbius transform. We define $(H^2_d)_\varphi$ to be the $\mathcal{A}_d$-module whose underlying Hilbert space is H^2_d and whose $\mathcal{A}_d$ is given by $z_i \cdot \xi = \varphi^i\xi$ for any $\xi \in H^2_d$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, where φ^i is the ith coordinate function of φ.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let φ be a Möbius transform. Then $(H^2_d)_\varphi$ is a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module. Furthermore, if we set $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(0)$, then the map $U_\varphi : H^2_d \longrightarrow (H^2_d)_\varphi$ defined by $U_\varphi\xi = (\xi\circ\varphi)\frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}$ is a unitary module isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_d)$ be the d-tuple such that $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{B}(H^2_d)$ is multiplication by φ^i. By conditions (1), (2), and (3), to prove the first part of the theorem it suffices to show that the following two conditions on $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_d)$ hold.

1. $\sum_{k=1}^d \Phi_k\Phi_k^* \le 1$.
2. $\mathrm{WOT} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n}^d \Phi_{i_1}\Phi_{i_2}\cdots\Phi_{i_n}\Phi_{i_n}^*\cdots\Phi_{i_2}^*\Phi_{i_1}^* = 0$.

The first condition (1) follows from Theorem 3.1, since the row operator $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \cdots, \Phi_d)$ is a partial isometry. For the second condition (2), we apply the stated limit to the linear functional $\langle (\cdot)k_z, k_w\rangle$:

(25)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n}^{d} \left\langle \Phi_{i_1}\cdots\Phi_{i_n}\Phi_{i_n}^*\cdots\Phi_{i_1}^* k_z, k_w \right\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\langle \varphi_\lambda(w), \varphi_\lambda(z)\right\rangle^n \left\langle k_z, k_w\right\rangle .$$

where we make use of (2). By property (2) of the classical Möbius transform, $|\langle\varphi_\lambda(w),\varphi_\lambda(z)\rangle| < 1$. Hence the limit in (25) tends to 0. Since the set of all k_z form a spanning set for H_d^2, and since the sums $\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n}^{d} \Phi_{i_1}\cdots\Phi_{i_n}\Phi_{i_n}^*\cdots\Phi_{i_1}^*$ are uniformly bounded over n, it follows that condition (2) is valid.

For the next statement in the proof, recall that by Theorem 1.3 there exists a minimal dilation $U_\varphi : H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow (H_d^2)_\varphi$. Let $(S_1', S_2', \dots, S_d')$ be the d-tuple associated with $H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{D}$. By Theorem 3.1 $\sum_{k=1}^d S_k' S_k^{'*}$ is the projection onto $(k_0\otimes\mathcal{D})^\perp = \{\xi\in H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{D} : \xi(0)=0\}$. Since U_φ is a coisometric module homomorphism, we have the following equation: Let P_0' be the orthogonal projection onto the space $k_0\otimes\mathcal{D}$.

$$U_\varphi P_0' U_\lambda^* = U_\varphi(1-\sum_{k=1}^d S_k' S_k^{'*})U_\varphi^* = 1-\sum_{k=1}^d \Phi_i\Phi_i^* = P_\lambda \tag{26}$$

Theorem 3.1. Hence $P_0'U_\varphi^*$ is a rank one operator, and by the minimality condition on U_φ, the submodule generated by the image this operator must be $H_d^2\otimes\mathcal{D}$. It follows that $\dim\mathcal{D}=1$, hence we may view U_φ as a map $H_d^2 \longrightarrow (H_d^2)_\varphi$. The equation in (26) also implies that $U_\varphi P_0$ is a partial isometry with support $\mathbb{C}k_0$ and image $\mathbb{C}k_\lambda$. Hence we may set $U_\varphi 1 = \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}$. The equation $U_\varphi\xi = (\xi\circ\varphi)\frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}$ now follows since U_φ is a module homomorphism.

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $\ker U_\varphi = \{0\}$. To this end, let $\xi\in H_d^2$, and suppose that $(\xi\circ\varphi)\frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}=0$. Since $k_\lambda(z)/\|k_\lambda\| = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{1-\langle z,\lambda\rangle}$ is never 0 on B_d, we must have $\xi(\varphi(z))$ for all $z\in B_d$. Hence $\xi(z)=0$ for all $z\in B_d$ since φ is bijective on B_d. Hence $\xi=0$. □

The following corollary shows how a Möbius transform U_φ provides a means of "changing the base point" from 0 to $\lambda\in B_d$ when considering the module H_d^2.

Corollary 3.4. *Let φ be a Möbius transform and let $\lambda=\varphi^{-1}(0)$. Then $U_\varphi\{k_0\}^\perp = \{k_\lambda\}^\perp$.*

Proof. This is obvious from the definition of U_φ.

□

To conclude this section, we demonstrate an "ergodicity" property of the set of Möbius transforms.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a proper non-trivial closed submodule of H^2_d. Then there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ and a Möbius transform φ such that $U_\varphi \xi \notin \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a proper closed submodule, it cannot contain k_0. Hence

$$M = \sup\{| \langle \xi, k_0 \rangle | : \|\xi\| = 1,\ \xi \in \mathcal{M}\} < 1.$$

By Theorem 3.2 in [8], there exists $\lambda \in B_d$ such that

$$\frac{\|P_{\mathcal{M}} k_\lambda\|}{\|k_\lambda\|} > M. \tag{27}$$

An explicit calculation involving (21) shows that

$$U_{\varphi_{-\lambda}} U_{\varphi_\lambda} \xi = \xi \circ u,$$

where u is a unitary operator on $\mathbb{C}^d$. Hence by the definition of U_u, $U_{\varphi_{-\lambda}} U_{\varphi_\lambda} = U_u$. Hence $U^*_{\varphi_\lambda} = U_{u^*} U_{\varphi_{-\lambda}}$. Therefore by our assumption that $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under Möbius transforms, it follows that

$$\sup\{| \left\langle U^*_{\varphi_\lambda} \xi, k_0 \right\rangle | : \|\xi\| = 1,\ \xi \in \mathcal{M}\} \leq M. \tag{28}$$

By definition, $U_{\varphi_\lambda} k_0 = \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}$. Hence by (28), $| \left\langle \xi, \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \right\rangle | \leq M$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\|\xi\| = 1$. But this implies that

$$\frac{\|P_{\mathcal{M}} k_\lambda\|}{\|k_\lambda\|} \leq M,$$

which contradicts (27).

□

4. The homology of localized free resolutions

In this section we use the machinery developed in Section 3 to extend Theorem 2.9. We first generalize Definition 3.2.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and let φ be a Möbius transform. We define the Möbius transform of $\mathcal{H}$ by φ to be the module $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$ with underlying Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}_d$ action defined by $z_i \cdot \xi = \varphi^i(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d)\xi$ for all $\xi \in H^2_d$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and let φ be a Möbius transform. Then $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$ is contractive and pure.*

Proof. Let $V : H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a dilation of $\mathcal{H}$. From Definition 3.2, we see that V is also a module homomorphism as a map from $(H^2_d)_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{D}$ onto $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$. Precomposing V with $U_\varphi \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$ gives a coisometric module homomorphism $V' : H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{H})_\varphi$. Hence $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$ is isomorphic to the quotient of a free module, whence it is pure and contractive. □

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pure contractive $\mathcal{A}_d$-module, and let φ be a Möbius transform with $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(0)$. Let*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'_3} E_2 \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} E_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Koszul complex of $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$, and let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_3(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1(\lambda)} \mathcal{C}_0 \tag{29}$$

be the localization at λ of a free resolution of $\mathcal{H}$. Then for $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\ker \partial'_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial'_{k+1}} \cong \frac{\ker \Phi_k(\lambda)}{\operatorname{im} \Phi_{k+1}(\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{30}$$

be the free resolution of $\mathcal{H}$ from which (29) is derived. Since Φ_i is a module homomorphism it follows that for $i \geq 0$, $\varphi^j \Phi_i = \Phi_i \varphi^j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. Hence we may view (30) as an exact sequence of Möbius transformed modules:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} (H^2_d)_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} (H^2_d)_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} (\mathcal{H})_\varphi \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $i \geq 1$ let $\Phi'_i = U^*_\varphi \Phi_i U_\varphi$. Then we have the following partial isomorphism of complexes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "\Phi_2"] & (H^2_d)_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{C}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi_1"] \arrow[d, "U^*_\varphi"'] & (H^2_d)_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 \arrow[r, "\Phi_0"] \arrow[d, "U^*_\varphi"'] & (\mathcal{H})_\varphi \arrow[r] & 0 \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "\Phi'_2"] & H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi'_1"] & H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since two isomorphic complexes have isomorphic homologies, there is a coisometric homomorphism $\Phi'_0 : H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{H})_\varphi$ which make the following sequence exact:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\Phi'_2} H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi'_1} H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\Phi'_0} (\mathcal{H})_\varphi \longrightarrow 0.$$

This is obviously a free resolution for $(\mathcal{H})_\varphi$, hence Theorem 2.9 implies that

$$\frac{\ker \partial'_k}{\operatorname{im} \partial'_{k+1}} \cong \frac{\ker \Phi'_k(0)}{\operatorname{im} \Phi'_{k+1}(0)}$$

for $k \geq 1$. We now compute $\Phi'_i(0)$ for $i \geq 1$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'_i(0)\eta, \eta' \rangle &= \langle \Phi'_i(k_0 \otimes \eta), k_0 \otimes \eta' \rangle = \left\langle U^*_\varphi \Phi_i U_\varphi (k_0 \otimes \eta), k_0 \otimes \eta' \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \Phi_i(\frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \otimes \eta), \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \otimes \eta' \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \otimes \eta, \Phi^*_i(\frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \otimes \eta') \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \otimes \eta, \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|} \otimes \Phi_i(\lambda)^* \eta' \right\rangle = \frac{\|k_\lambda\|^2 \langle \eta, \Phi_i(\lambda)^* \eta' \rangle}{\|k_\lambda\|^2} = \langle \Phi_i(\lambda)\eta, \eta' \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Since η and η' were arbitrary, $\Phi'_i(0) = \Phi_i(\lambda)$ for each $i \geq 1$. The conclusion of the theorem now follows. □

References

1. William Arveson, *The Dirac operator of a commuting d-tuple*, pre-print.
2. ______, *Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III. Multivariable operator theory*, Acta Math. **181** (1998), no. 2, 159–228.
3. ______, *The curvature of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d]$*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96** (1999), no. 20, 11096–11099.
4. ______, *The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over* $\mathbf{c}[z_1, \cdots, z_d]$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **522** (2000), 173–236. MR 1 758 582
5. J. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, 1990.
6. Raul E. Curto, *Fredholm and invertible n-tuples of operators. The deformation problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1981), no. 1, 129–159. MR **82g:**47010
7. David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR **97a:**13001
8. Devin Greene, Stefan Richter, and Carl Sundberg, *The structure of inner mulitipliers on spaces with complete Nevanlinna Pick kernels*, preprint.
9. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 57 #3116
10. Serge Lang, *Algebra*, second ed., Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1984. MR **86j:**00003

11. Stephen Parrott, *The curvature of a single contraction operator on a Hilbert space*, pre-print.
12. Joseph J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1979. MR **80k:**18001
13. Walter Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Springer-Verlag, NewYork-Berlin, 1980.
14. Joseph L. Taylor, *The analytic-functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Acta Math. **125** (1970), 1–38. MR 42 #6622
15. ______, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Functional Analysis **6** (1970), 172–191. MR 42 #3603
16. F.-H. Vasilescu, *A characterization of the joint spectrum in Hilbert spaces*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **22** (1977), no. 7, 1003–1009. MR 58 #17890

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588

E-mail address: `dgreene@math.unl.edu`